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Developing problem solving skills using student-generated problems that reverse engineer YouTube videos

Abstract

Homework problems from many textbooks have solutions manuals on the Internet. Students find solutions manuals and focus on getting the right answer put little or no effort on learning. Problem solving is a signature skill of engineers that is in high demand across industries. Here, problem solving is employed when students apply course concepts to reverse engineer YouTube videos and create new homework-quality problem statements and solutions. This research seeks to examine the rigor of YouTube problems and the effect of YouTube problems on the problem-solving skills of students. A quasi-experimental, treatment/control group design is employed and data is collected and evaluated using a variety of tools. The rigor of YouTube problems is examined using the NASA Task Load Index (TLX). Problem solving skill are examined using Problem definition, representing the problem, organizing information, calculations, evaluating the solution, solution communication, and self-assessment (PROCESS) and other tools.

Introduction

21st century undergraduate students are digital natives. Almost all of these students have had access to computers and the Internet from a young age. Technology-savvy students enjoy advantages, such as accessibility to course related information from the Internet enabling them to look up unit conversions, find physical properties, or verify an equation effortlessly. However, faculty members are faced with challenges associated with negative technology-driven behaviors in higher education. For example, handheld technologies allowing students to send text messages or tweets contributing to shorter student attention spans [1]. Also, easy accessibility of textbook solution manuals online intended for instructors makes it easier for students to cheat and copy textbook homework solutions instead of solving them [2].

Granted that many textbook solutions manuals are available on the web, a good number of students perceive copying solution manuals as equivalence to learning the material [3]. In contrast to this perception, both homework and exam scores have shown, in the case of one semester of material and energy balance students, that copying the solutions manual as a form of studying does not lead to success in the course [4]. Therefore, finding new ways to develop interesting and textbook-quality homework problems to both engage and educate digital native students is the topic of this paper.

Aside from educational integrity, engaging digital natives could lead to an improvement in their problem-solving skills. Moreover, Accrediting Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) standards stress the need for engineering graduates to have the ability to solve problems in addition to being knowledgeable of current issues. In addition, complex problem solving skills is predicted to be the most prevalent skill to thrive in the workforce in 2020 [5]. Real world problems help students’ understanding to become more integrated [6, 7]. However, most instructional approaches limit students’ ability to transfer learning by focusing on course-specific information.
Active learning involves students’ participation. Active learning and student-centered pedagogies leads to better learning compared to traditional teacher-centric techniques, such as lecture [8-12]. For an activity to truly qualify as active learning, students’ enthusiasm is advantageous. Pedagogies should adapt to current students’ strengths by integrating their digital habits into the higher-education classroom [9, 13-17]. In fact, technology in the classroom is expected by many digital natives (e.g., clickers, tablets, just-in-time teaching, YouTube) [18-22]. Implementation of technology as a form of active learning is a useful tool because it connects students and learning [23, 24]. Therefore, engaging the current generation of students using technology, like YouTube, in a positive way is one motivation directing this project.

Characteristics of problems such as complexity of problems influence problem solving performance [25, 26]. However, visual representation is an important part of successfully solving complex problems [25, 27-31]. The role of visuals in enhancing learning has been studied and shown to trump the other senses in creating short and long-term memory [27, 32]. Visual learning methods open new ways of problem solving, thinking, as well as enhance the education and practice of science and engineering [28, 29, 33]. In addition, the seemingly endless information and content on the Internet, and specifically YouTube videos, provide an array of contexts to connect engineering fundamentals to visual situations, which can be motivating and interesting. Therefore, the engagement and productive learning from searching for, identifying, watching, and translating YouTube videos ties in well with cutting-edge research in neuroscience and learning science.

In addition, preparing students so that they can solve problems in a variety of settings as well as apply classroom engineering concepts in real world situation is a major role of engineering education. This research is based on the grounds that problem solving skill is promoted through YouTube pedagogy since it integrates variety of real world problems. This feature motivates students to spend the time needed to solve complex problems since they find them interesting. While the study is underway, some of the content of this paper has been previously published.

**Materials: Creating YouTube Problems**

YouTube pedagogy started as a way to encourage attendance, introduce and engage students during the Fall 2008 semester where first five minutes of class was dedicated to course related videos selected by groups of 3 or 4 students. After the implementation, the students gave YouTube Fridays favorable re-marks. The goal was to introduce the students to chemical engineering and what chemical engineers do, which was very successful based on the written reports and the data collected from the evaluations [18].

Following the success based on surveys related to engagement, the following year, students were required to select video from YouTube and create engineering estimate problems related to the topic of the course (either thermodynamics or material and energy balances). Students’ feedback on their comfort with open-ended problems was solicited. While a majority felt they had a better understanding of the course topic of thermodynamics (63% strongly agree/agree), a larger majority could relate thermodynamics to real world phenomena and felt confident solving engineering estimate problems (79% and 69% strongly agree/agree, respectively). In addition, over 40% of the
class thought YouTube Fridays helped them learn the course material. Recurring response from the free response questions stated that real situations are much harder than class problems since there are so many unknowns. The vast majority of the feedback on YouTube Fridays was positive and will help to improve the students’ ability to apply classroom concepts to open-ended, real world situations [21].

Another study successfully established the efficacy of student-written YouTube problems. The quality of one set of student-written problems was compared with textbook homework problems by using two class sections of a junior level heat transfer course. The course comprises of two major topics; parallel and series resistances and the transient heat transfer. Both sections of the course were assigned weekly homework, taken out of the textbook for the parallel and series topic. While for the transient heat transfer, the students in the control section completed textbook homework and those in the YouTube section completed YouTube homework assignment written by students in a senior-level transport phenomena course in the previous semester. The exam question covered both topics; first, problem for parallel and series was lifted from textbook while the second problem which covered transient heat transfer was a student-written problem from YouTube. Student achievement on exam problems in a heat transfer course compared the students in the section completing only textbook homework problems to students completing student-written YouTube homework problems. For the first problem, students in both sections demonstrated no statistical difference while students in the YouTube section scored higher on the second problem. This outcome of this study provides motivation for a larger, more rigorous study to quantify problem solving skills exhibited from solving YouTube problems [20].

**Example YouTube Problems**

Variety of problems that can be implemented in class, as part of homework sets, or in quizzes/exams has been developed with the YouTube pedagogy. Four problem types which examine the student’s learning at the numerous levels of Bloom’s taxonomy [34], and Examples are detailed in the publications [18, 20, 21].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem Type</th>
<th>Bloom’s verbs</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Close-ended, Textbook-like</td>
<td>Demonstrate, Illustrate, Show, Solve</td>
<td>Crayons - see Figure 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>Identify, Generalize, Classify, Translate</td>
<td>How it’s made - see Figure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Restate, Report, Summarize, Explain</td>
<td>Describe the ‘event’ in the video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Estimate (Fact or Fiction)</td>
<td>Compare, Analyze, Model, Relate</td>
<td>Big Water Slide detailed in [20].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Close-ended problems have a single correct answer, use the video to identify a problem to reverse engineer, and incorporate physical values from the video into the problem. The two examples cited possess the features of this problem type. The type and scope of close-ended problems have varied greatly, from mimicking textbook problems, problems with single questions, problems with multiple parts, and sets of conceptual questions.

Interpretation problems involve translating the events in an appropriately detailed video into a process flow diagram. For example, many “How it’s made” videos available on the web describe
an industrial process such as producing useful products at scale. In addition, interpretation using diagrams play a key role in solving most Engineering problems. Figure 2 is an Engineering diagram which shows the production of whiskey from the delivery of corn to the distillery to the final product. The attention to detail in identifying process units and streams from the video is the same problem-solving process used in the course. Also, the first part of the problem statement for ‘How it is made - crayons’ (figure 2) is another example of the interpretation type problem. It requires that the process flow diagram to be drawn and all streams labeled.

Figure 1. Example of student made process flow diagram from a material and energy balances course.

Figure 2 is an example of a problem involving a reacting system with recycles streams. Problems of this kind are one of the most difficult concepts for students learning material balances for the first time since they require additional evaluation in order to elucidate the flowrates at different streams. The problem statement includes a balanced chemical reaction, multiple parts/questions, and a standard, and somewhat generic, process flow diagram. The final part of the crayon problem involves finding the volumetric flow rate of the reactor effluent, fresh feed and product stream in standard units. Since the product is a solid (crayons), use of standard temperature and pressure to compare volumetric flow rate reveals a misconception. At this point, the instructor can decide how to proceed e.g., a timely class discussion on appropriate use of standard conditions and their effect (or lack of) on the density of gases, liquids and solid.
Hypothesis

Previous studies created a YouTube pedagogy and presented various successful pilot studies in a number of engineering courses. New research questions focus on examining the effects of student-generated YouTube problems on the development of problem solving skills of the students. The central hypothesis is that student-generated problems based on YouTube videos (“YouTube problems”) promote better problem-solving skills than traditional textbook problems. Therefore, research questions seek to examine the quality of problem solving skills as well as the effects which creating and solving YouTube problems have on students’ problem-solving skills, which will be elaborated on in the poster.

Evaluation Techniques

In order to answer the research questions, student problem solving skills will be evaluated using several tools. First, 7-stage model consisting: Problem definition, representing the problem, organizing information, calculations, evaluating the solution, solution communication, and self-assessment (PROCESS) [35-39]. This tool has been used in engineering courses and on problems based on real-world scenarios similar to YouTube problems. It evaluates both the problem-solving process and the final solution. The rubrics for PROCESS was designed to track the problem-solving process for problems solved on a tablet. However, since the scope of this work is within gathering students’ data from handwritten homework which is copied for later evaluation, PROCESS will be modified to match course content.
In addition to the PROCESS tool, a second tool, Colorado Learning Attitudes about Science Survey (CLASS) is being implemented to measure of students’ perception of problem solving ability. The CLASS has a reliability index of 0.90. Although the instrument was designed for physics, this tool can be modified to match course content.

The rigor of student-created problems compared to textbook problems will be evaluated using the NASA Task Load Index (TLX). This tool measures workload using a six subscale: mental, physical, and temporal demands, frustration, effort, and performance [40-45]. This self-reported survey measures perceived mental effort and has a reliability index of 0.92. Students will complete the NASA TLX for all the homework problems assigned. A comparison between groups will test whether YouTube problems are perceived by students to be equally as rigorous as textbook problems. To further examine the rigor of YouTube Problems composed by students, it will also be scored using a course-designed, analytic rubric. The rubric assesses four outcomes: integration of concepts, integration of video, clarity of problem statement, and accuracy of solution, will be modified to be more sensitive.

Conclusion

In summary, homework-style, YouTube-inspired problems are being implemented in an undergraduate course in Material and Energy balance. Example problems as well as preliminary responses and evaluation will help measure the effect of YouTube problems on problem-solving skills.
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